

## Accountancy Major Course Evaluations

### ACCY 302

#### Semester Reviewed: Spring 2018

Professor	TA	Expected Grade
Jae Shin	N/A	B-

#### Qualitative Ratings: (1 = Low, 5 = High)

Difficulty	Time Commitment	Enjoyment
4	4	3

#### Assignments:

Number of Projects	Number of Papers	Number of Cases
8	8	4

#### Exams:

Number of Exams*	Exam Format
2	Multiple Choice & Free response

\*Including Final Exam

#### Class Format and Books:

Teaching Style	Required Textbook Cost
Powerpoint	150

#### Comments Regarding Professor

Jae is an international professor, from Korea, and this is his only year teaching at UIUC. I loved having him as a professor he is very understanding and willing to help. He has a great sense of humor which helps in processing and staying engaged with the dry material.

#### Comments Regarding Course Content

I do not enjoy the course as a whole, but that is expected because it is not the type of accounting I am interested in.

## Accountancy Major Course Evaluations

### ACCY 302

#### Semester Reviewed: Spring 2018

Professor	TA	Expected Grade
Kirsten Fanning	none	B

#### Qualitative Ratings: (1 = Low, 5 = High)

Difficulty	Time Commitment	Enjoyment
4	4	2

#### Assignments:

Number of Projects	Number of Papers	Number of Cases
1	0	4

#### Exams:

Number of Exams*	Exam Format
2	Multiple choice

\*Including Final Exam

#### Class Format and Books:

Teaching Style	Required Textbook Cost
Powerpoint	130

#### Comments Regarding Professor

--

#### Comments Regarding Course Content

content was more conceptual which i didn't enjoy. what i learned in class didn't really help with the homework

## Accountancy Major Course Evaluations

### ACCY 302

Semester Reviewed: Fall 2017

Professor	TA	Expected Grade
Kirsten Fanning	none	A

Qualitative Ratings: (1 = Low, 5 = High)

Difficulty	Time Commitment	Enjoyment
3	3	2

Assignments:

Number of Projects	Number of Papers	Number of Cases
1	2	0

Exams:

Number of Exams*	Exam Format
2	Multiple choice; Free response

\*Including Final Exam

Class Format and Books:

Teaching Style	Required Textbook Cost
Powerpoint; Lecture Notes	

Comments Regarding Professor

Comments Regarding Course Content

## Accountancy Major Course Evaluations

### ACCY 302

**Semester Reviewed: Spring 2017**

Professor	TA	Expected Grade
Kirsten Fanning	N/A	A

**Qualitative Ratings: (1 = Low, 5 = High)**

Difficulty	Time Commitment	Enjoyment
3	3	2

**Assignments:**

Number of Projects	Number of Papers	Number of Cases
3	0	3

**Exams:**

Number of Exams*	Exam Format
2	Multiple choice; Free response

\*Including Final Exam

**Class Format and Books:**

Teaching Style	Required Textbook Cost
Powerpoint	150

#### Comments Regarding Professor

Professor Fanning is approachable and lectures well, although she does just read from the power point slides.

#### Comments Regarding Course Content

The course is different than other accounting courses because it is more theory based which makes the content difficult to learn and understand at first.

## Accountancy Major Course Evaluations

### ACCY 302

**Semester Reviewed: Spring 2017**

Professor	TA	Expected Grade
Martin Wu	N/A	A

**Qualitative Ratings: (1 = Low, 5 = High)**

Difficulty	Time Commitment	Enjoyment
2	2	3

**Assignments:**

Number of Projects	Number of Papers	Number of Cases
1	0	2

**Exams:**

Number of Exams*	Exam Format
2	Multiple choice; Free response

\*Including Final Exam

**Class Format and Books:**

Teaching Style	Required Textbook Cost
Powerpoint	0

#### Comments Regarding Professor

Prof. Wu is very approachable and understanding. He is very attentive to our take on the issue when discussing in class. He is very patient with explaining class materials too. He is not strict in grading at all.

#### Comments Regarding Course Content

This course is about ethics and business environment with many interesting concepts previously not taught. It gives a background on internal controls which is the main topic of ACCY 304.

## Accountancy Major Course Evaluations

### ACCY 302

Semester Reviewed: Spring 2017

Professor	TA	Expected Grade
Thomas Finnegan	N/A	B

Qualitative Ratings: (1 = Low, 5 = High)

Difficulty	Time Commitment	Enjoyment
3	2	1

Assignments:

Number of Projects	Number of Papers	Number of Cases
1	0	0

Exams:

Number of Exams*	Exam Format
2	Multiple choice

\*Including Final Exam

Class Format and Books:

Teaching Style	Required Textbook Cost
Powerpoint	100

#### Comments Regarding Professor

Finnegan often goes on a tangent irrelevant to the course material, so not much is learnt during class

#### Comments Regarding Course Content

It's difficult to know what is exactly what is going on in the course since not much is taught

## Accountancy Major Course Evaluations

### ACCY 302

Semester Reviewed: Spring 2017

Professor	TA	Expected Grade
Xiaoling Chen	N/A	B+

Qualitative Ratings: (1 = Low, 5 = High)

Difficulty	Time Commitment	Enjoyment
3	3	2

Assignments:

Number of Projects	Number of Papers	Number of Cases
1	1	3

Exams:

Number of Exams*	Exam Format
2	Multiple choice

\*Including Final Exam

Class Format and Books:

Teaching Style	Required Textbook Cost
Powerpoint	150

#### Comments Regarding Professor

Kind of boring but an easy grader.

#### Comments Regarding Course Content

Definitely easier compared to ACCY 301. Tests were very qualitative and were directly from material in class. Did not have to read the book in order to get a good grade